MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CERTIFICATION	1. REGULATION NUMBER AR 215-1			
STATEMENT	2. DATE OF REGULATION			
For use of this form, see AR 11-2; the proponent agency is ASA(FM).	24 Oct 2006			
3. ASSESSABLE UNIT	<del></del>			
Directorate of Morale, Welfare, and Recreation				
FUNCTION     Auto Craft Shop, Sales Accountability for Resale Operations				
5. METHOD OF EVALUATION (Check one)				
X a. CHECKLIST b. ALTERNATIVE METHOD (Indicate ri	nethod)			
APPENDIX (Enter appropriate letter)				
6. EVALUATION CONDUCTED BY				
a. NAME (Last, First, MI) Neff, William	b. DATE OF EVALUATION 20 Dec 2006			
	20 200 2000			
7. REMARKS (Continue on reverse or use additional sheets of plain paper)				
Key management controls were tested by direct observation, file/documentation review, and sampling.				
No material weaknesses were found during this evaluation period.				
The material result issues that could during the standard period.				
8. CERTIFICATION				
I certify that the key management controls in this function have been evaluated in accordance with provisi	ions of AR 11-2 Army Management Control			
Process. I also certify that corrective action has been initiated to resolve any deficiencies detected. Thes				
are described above or in attached documentation. This certification statement and any supporting documentation will be retained on file subject to				
audit/inspection until superseded by a subsequent management control evaluation.	anienation was be retained on the subject to			
\$100 CO 100 CO 1				
a. ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER  (1) Typed Name and Title	D. DATE CERTIFIED			
Paul A. Heilman				
Director of Morale, Welfare, and Recreation	2200. 27			
(2) Signature	22 Jan 07			
My Hipsan	,			

## E - SALES ACCOUNTABILITY TESTS FOR OTHER RESALE OPERATIONS

		YES	NO
1	Were sales accountability tests conducted in accordance with guidance in AR 215-1, App G?	<u>.</u> X	
2.	Were inventories performed in accordance with guidance in AR 215-1, App G and DOD 7000.14-R, Volume 13, August 1994?	<u>X</u>	
	Did the activity manager or designee conduct or supervise monthend physical inventories? Maintained electronically-physical count Done once a year.	X	
	Was the DA Form 1759 (Property/Merchandise Inventory) prepared in the same sequence in which the merchandise was stored or arranged for display?	_X_	
	If stock record cards (DA Form 1991) were maintained was the DA Form 1759 prepared by the organization maintaining the stock record cards? Inventory maintained by Rec Trac	<u>_X</u> _	
	If automated inventory records were maintained, did the central accounting office prepare the inventory listing?	X	
	If inventory records were not maintained, was the DA Form 1759 prepared by the activity manager before the physical count was taken? See above – inventory maintained by Rec Trac	n/a <sub>.</sub>	
	Were cutoff dates for sales, issues, returns, adjustments, and transfers between departments established so that inventory lists would accurately reflect all items of inventory?	<u> X</u>	
	Were all items accurately counted?	_x	
	Were item counts recorded in ink on the DA Form 1759?	X	
	If some items inventoried were not listed on the DA Form 1759, were the items subsequently added?	X	
	Did at least two persons perform the inventory counts (one to count and one to record?)	X_	
	If corrections were made to the recorded counts, were the incorrect figures lined out, the correct figures inserted, and the corrections initialed by at least two people?	X <u>.</u>	

Were inventory counts reconciled to stock record cards, and were differences reconciled? Entries are in Rec Trac not stock cards

χ\_

		YES	NO
	Were stock record cards prepared for items not originally listed on DA Form 1759? Yes entered into Rec Trac	X	
	Were central accounting offices and activity managers given the results of the inventories?	X	
3.	Were expected sales calculations properly and accurately performed?	X	
	Were quantities of inventoried items (by line item) for both the beginning and ending inventories accurately listed on the sales accountability test sheets?	.X.	
	In case of manual computations, were the calculations validated, in cases of numerous computations, did the activity manager spot check to ensure that the expected sales were accurately computed? All sales entered in Rec Trac	n/a <u>.</u>	
	Were accurate retail prices used to determine expected sales revenue?	_X	
4.	Were actual sales figures reliable?	_X	
	Were operations adequately monitored to ensure that receipts were issued for all sales?	<u>_X_</u>	
	Were operations adequately monitored to ensure that sales were recorded and the cash register clerks recorded receipts at the time of sale?	_X_	
	Were separate cash register keys used to identify sales by categories?	<u>_</u> X_	
	Did the amount of actual sales recorded on the sales accountability test sheets accurately reflect the documented sales receipts?	X	
5.	Were expected sales compared to actual sales, and were significant variances investigated and documented?	<u>_X</u> _	
6.	Were the sales accountability test sheets signed by the person verifying the results?	X.	
7.	Do managers review results of the sales accountability tests and take action, as required, based on the results?	X	

Classification: **UNCLASSIFIED** 

Caveats: NONE

Mulla S 186